

## CLADI .... INSITREP .95-606

# **International Narcotics Review**

June-July 1995

APPROVED FOR RELEASE DATE: MAR 2002

(b)(1) (b)(3)

Secret

July 1995

<b>National Security</b>
Information

Unauthorized Disclosure Subject to Criminal Sanctions

Dissemination Control Abbreviations	

٠	Secret	

# **International Narcotics** Review June-July 1995 Peru-Colombia: Disrupting the Airbridge Highlights Latin America Africa

(b)(1) (b)(3)

Sec

July 1995

Secret		
	Asia	
	This Review is prepared by the DCI Crime and Narcotics Center, with tions from other offices. It assesses narcotics-related developments work report leads with a short Perspective on a drug-related issue or trenductive is of special importance. The Perspective, which does not repredinated Directorate of Intelligence assessment, is intended to be special generate discussion. The Perspective is followed by feature articles, as remainder of the report examines various dimensions of the drug probuggion. Questions and comments are welcome and should be addressed authors.	rldwide. Th that we esent a coo lative and t nd the olem by

### Peru-Colombia: Disrupting the Airbridge

Increased interdiction efforts in both Colombia and Peru since March 1995 appear to have disrupted the flow of cocaine along the airbridge, for years the main conduit of coca derivatives moving from Peru to Colombia. Intensified law enforcement pressure—including the arrests of major Colombian and Peruvian narcotics traffickers—is forcing cocaine smugglers to alter methods of operation, including routes and modes of transportation. These changes have led to significant fluctuations in the price and supplies of coca, at least in some areas. There also are indications that some trafficking groups in Peru are in disarray and may be stepping up attempts to bribe counternarcotics personnel to ignore illicit shipments.

#### Importance of the Airbridge

Drug traffickers have long preferred air routes to move semirefined cocaine base to Colombia for final processing. The airbridge has provided them security from interdiction by ground-based police units and also from possible harassment or theft of narcotics by bandits and guerrillas. Air transportation also has allowed traffickers to make a speedier return on their investment, reduced possible spoilage of semirefined narcotics because of hot and humid conditions prevalent in drug areas, and permitted the use of staging areas with poor road access—thus decreasing the chance of drug operations being disrupted by authorities.

Principally for these reasons, the airbridge is the most important chokepoint available to Peruvian and Colombian security forces trying to stem the flow of narcotics between the two countries. Lima and Bogota have sought to exploit this key trafficker vulnerability since 1992. Early efforts—focused mainly on attempting to deny traffickers the use of airfields by deploying security forces to municipal airports

and by blocking runways of rudimentary airstripssucceeded in disrupting many drug flights from traditional growing areas in the Upper Huallaga Valley, but traffickers soon dispersed their activities to other areas of Peru, particularly in the Aguaytia, Apurimac, and Pachitea river valleys. In 1993 and 1994. Peru pursued an aggressive aerial interdiction effort to force down trafficker aircraft that was set back when the United States suspended intelligence and radar tracking support—which have been critical to the effective use of Andean air assets-in May 1994, allowing traffickers to resume direct flights without concern for their safety. Changes in US law and the Andean governments' willingness to ensure full compliance with international norms regarding shootdowns allowed US intelligence support to resume last December; however, the Peru-Ecuador border war earlier this year effectively delayed implementation until March.

#### Renewed Targeting of the Airbridge

The resumption of US intelligence support has allowed recent Colombian and Peruvian interdiction operations to disrupt the airbridge more effectively. US detection and monitoring airplanes and ground-based radar have helped both countries seize, destroy on the ground, or force down numerous illegal drug trafficking planes.

Lima alone has seized or destroyed nine drug smuggling planes since April 1995. These successes have bolstered the confidence of interdiction aircrews and increased their sense of "ownership" of domestic antidrug programs.

Peru has been the most assertive in denying its national airspace to trafficker flights.

(b)(1) (b)(3)

Secret

Tufy:



	by helicopter the following day. After troops drew
	fire from traffickers, the Colombian Air Force used
	ground-attack aircraft to strafe portions of the air-
	field.
	Impact on Traffickors
	Impact on Traffickers
	Improved air interdiction efforts have forced traffick-
	ers to reduce their use of the airbridge.
Peruvian forces adhere to internationally recognized	
rules of engagement <sup>1</sup> for potentially lethal actions	
against aircraft; these include the declaration of	
restricted flight zones, radio and visual warning sig-	
nals, and the firing of warning shots. In late June, a	
Peruvian Air Force aircraft based at Tarapoto inter-	
cepted a single-engine Cessna north of Yurimaguas	
that was heading toward Colombia. The Air Force	
plane tracked the Cessna for nearly two hours, dur-	Many traffickers appear to be stockpiling drug sup-
ing which it ignored radio and visual warnings as	plies until pressures ease.
well as warning shots. The commander	
authorized the interceptor to fire on the Cessna,	
which disintegrated and crashed in the jungle some	The over-
56 kilometers south of the Colombian border.	abundance of coca derivatives probably is the cause
	for waning prices in many areas;
Colombia also has been aggressive in targeting drug	some traffickers have lowered
planes. On numerous occasions this year, Colombian	their price of coca base by as much as 50 percent
gunships have used US-	Such prices
provided tracking data to follow suspected narcotics-	probably approach the break-even point and may
carrying aircraft. On at least five occasions, Air	force some producers to lay off workers or suspend
Force planes later strafed suspected drug planes	production soon if prices remain depressed.
while on the ground	
After several of these inci-	Taxing To Find Ways Around the Duchlam
dents, Air Force helicopters reportedly airlifted secu-	Trying To Find Ways Around the Problem
rity teams to the airfields to seize any aircraft that remained at the site.	Airbridge interdiction efforts are compelling traffick-
Temamed at the site.	ers to change their methods of operation
In late June, for example, a suspected	ers to change their methods of operation.
narcotics-carrying aircraft was detected in southern	
Colombia. After being informed of its landing site,	
Colombian interdiction forces arrived at the airstrip	
2010	
<sup>1</sup> These procedures are identified by a 1947 international conven-	
tion on civil aviation (Chicago Convention) and by subsequent	
international law.	





Some traffickers are trying to retain access to the air-
Some traffickers are trying to retain access to the airbridge by suborning Peruvian Air Force personnel.



	Outlook and Implications	
	Already off to a good start, aerial interdiction efforts have the potential to cause more serious, systemic problems for traffickers over the long haul. If pressures are continued, traffickers are likely to become more desperate in their attempts to circumvent interdiction forces. Many, for instance, are likely to step up efforts to buy off key military personnel by offering enormous sums for their cooperation. Should these attempts fail, some traffickers could be expected to try to coerce security personnel through violence and intimidation. Eventually, trafficker threats to US detection and monitoring personnel—while at present minimal—could increase as traffickers conclude that the gains from relieving the pressure outweigh the risk that such violence might intensify international antidrug resolve.	
At least some traffickers may be poised to avoid the airbridge entirely by using riverine or land routes to transport coca  Traffickers undoubtedly will make greater use of Peru's rivers to try to minimize disruptions to their operations,  Most major narcotics producing areas have easy access to the navigable headwaters of the	A serious attempt by Peru and Colombia to improve their riverine and road interdiction efforts—simultaneous with the airbridge program—would have a more pronounced impact in curbing trafficking. At present, Peru's fluvial highways provide a usable, if inconvenient, backdoor to efforts to control the airways. Without them and the few extant roads in the drug areas, traffickers would have to rely on far less efficient means of transport, including human and animal pack trains. Such clumsy transportation practices would further reduce profits and put traffickers' valuable drug cargoes at greater risk of theft or loss.	
have easy access to the navigable headwaters of the Amazon River; these tributaries flow northward—toward Colombia—and are suitable for carrying even large loads of narcotics. Some of these same rivers are already being used by traffickers to bring in precursor and essential chemicals to jungle laboratories and to move at least some narcotics to staging airfields. Traffickers have avoided riverine transfers because they are slow, but they are likely to see the rivers as an increasingly attractive alternative if aerial interdiction pressures are sustained.	Over time, intensified and sustained aerial interdiction, complemented by operations to disrupt other avenues of moving coca derivatives to processing laboratories in Colombia, could erode the profitability of many traffickers' operations, substantially reducing their incentive to continue producing and trafficking in cocaine. Those traffickers that remain in the drug business probably would become fiercely	



competitive—possibly spurring confrontations between groups, which would further stress the illicit trade. The troubles faced by the Cali mafia—a result of the recent arrests and surrenders of key leaders and security personnel—combined with an effective airbridge program could accelerate a trend toward processing finished cocaine in Peru by other Colombian groups and by Peruvian drug traffickers. Some Peruvian groups already have sold finished cocaine directly to traffickers from other countries—especially Mexico—who appear increasingly reluctant to pay high middleman prices for Colombian-produced narcotics. Cocaine carried overland and exported through Peruvian ports would, as it is now, be invulnerable to aerial interdiction efforts.

This article is Secret